

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMAR

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/518,983 **JEAN** R 03/03/00 991207 **EXAMINER** PM82/1024 Rudolf O Siegesmund STRIMBU, G Suite 2000 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 4627 N Central Expressway Dallas TX 75205-4017 3634 **DATE MAILED:** 10/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/518,983	Applicant(s	R. Jear	
	Examiner			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				•
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowand in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	ce except for formal matters Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	, prosecution O.G. 213.	on as to the mer	its is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this as is longer, from the mailing date of this communicat application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 13 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ion. Failure to respond with	in the perio	d for response v	vill cause the
Disposition of Claims				
	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application			
Of the above, claim(s) 13-17	s) <u>13-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)			s/are allowed.	
Claim(s)			s/are objected to) .
☐ Claims			ion or election r	equirement.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa ☒ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Ex isap ner. Examiner.	aminer. proved [disapproved.	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIF☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code received in this national stage application *Certified copies not received: ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dorest contents.	IED copies of the priority double/Serial Number)	cuments ha	ve been _ · Rule 17.2(a)).	·
•	mode priority under 30 0.3		ı •	
Attachment(s) ☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-14 ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1	iew, PTO-948			

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 3634

Restriction Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a shutter, classified in class 049, subclass 074.1.
- II. Claims 13-17, drawn to a method of assembling a shutter, classified in class 049, subclass 506.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the

process as claimed can be used to make another materially different product such as a shutter that

does not have a baseboard.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Rudolf O. Siegesmund on October 19, 2000 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 13-17 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 3634

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because "(VIEW 7-7)" in figure 7 is superfluous and should

Page 3

be deleted. Finally, the applicant is reminded that when showing the invention in cross section

(figure 7), the proper cross sectional shading indicating the material from which the invention is

made must be used. See MPEP 608.02. Correction is required.

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office

action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is

allowed by the examiner.

Specification

The title of the invention is objected to because it is not clear what words the "/"

represents.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because it does not set forth the claim from which it depends.

However, in order to further the prosecution of the application, it is assumed that claim 5 depends

from claim 1. Claims 5-7, 10 and 11 are objected to because the preamble of each claim does not

properly refer to the entire invention. Therefore, it is suggested that the applicant amend claims

5-7, 10 and 11 so that they refer to the invention as a whole. For example, claim 5 should be

Art Unit: 3634

changed to -- The shutter of claim 1 wherein each of said slats comprises a first ridge and a second

Page 4

ridge.-- Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Recitations such as "a plurality of securement devices" on line 8 of claim 1 render the

claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is referring to the securement devices set

forth above or is attempting to set forth securement devices in addition to the ones set forth

above. Recitations such as "a first ridge and a second ridge" on line 1 of claim 5 render the claims

indefinite because it is unclear how all of the slats can comprise only two ridges. Recitations such

as "said plurality of top ends" on line 11 of claim 9 and "said plurality of screws" on line 12 of

claim 9 render the claims indefinite because they lack antecedent basis. Note that the applicant

has set forth only one top end above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 3634

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 5

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alexanian et al. Alexanian et al., in figures 1-3, disclose a shutter 10 comprising a top 12, a plurality of slats 20 having a top end and a bottom end, a base slat support 14, a baseboard 16, a plurality of springs 46, a plurality of securement devices 44, a stiffener 18, a plurality of joints (not numbered, but shown between the elements such as the top 12 and the base board 16), wherein the plurality of top ends are affixed to the top 12 by a plurality of securement devices 44 and wherein the plurality of springs 46 are affixed between the plurality of bottom ends and the base slat support 14 by a plurality of securement devices 44.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cleaver et al. Cleaver et al. disclose a shutter for a semi-circular window comprising a top 12 a plurality of slats 18 having a top end and a bottom end, a base slat support 14, a baseboard 13, a plurality of springs 26, a plurality of securement devices 21, a base front 16, a base rear (not

Art Unit: 3634

Page 6

numbered, but see column 2, lines 25-26), a stiffener 20, a first ridge and a second ridge (not numbered, but comprising the edges of the slats), screws (not shown, but see column 2, lines 37-39) and a plurality of joints 22, wherein the plurality of top ends are affixed to the top 12 by a plurality of securement devices 21 and wherein the bottom ends are affixed to the base slat support 14 by a plurality of securement devices 22. Cleaver et al. are silent concerning mounting springs between the plurality of bottom ends and the base slat support.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the springs between the plurality of bottom ends and the base slat support, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves no more than routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167.

Claims 6 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cleaver et al. as applied to claims 1-5, 7 and 8 above, and further in view of Alexanian et al. Alexanian et al. disclose, in figure 2, the use of screws 42 to connect slats to a frame 14.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Cleaver et al. with screws, as taught by Alexanian et al., to more securely attach the slats to a frame.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Arnold, Xue, Briggs, Thomas, Rosenblatt, Faircloth, Digianni et al. and Rosen are

Art Unit: 3634

cited for disclosing a semi-circular shutter. Green and Whitaker are cited for disclosing slats for a shutter including ridges. Sitzes et al. and Lukaszonas are cited for disclosing fasteners.

· Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is (703) 305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Gregory J. Strimbu Patent Examiner Art Unit 3634